UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

HUNTAIR, INC.)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 07 C 6890
vs.) Hon. Judge David H. Coar
CLIMATECRAFT, INC.) Magistrate Judge Morton Denlow
Defendant.)

AGREED MOTION OF PLAINTIFF HUNTAIR, INC. FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN OVERSIZED RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

Plaintiff Huntair, Inc. ("Huntair"), by its attorneys, respectfully requests this Court grant Huntair leave to file an oversized responsive claim construction brief of twenty-three (23) pages. In support of its motion, Huntair states the following:

- ClimateCraft filed a 29-page opening claim construction brief nearly double the
 15-page limit set forth by Local Rule 7.1.
- 2. Huntair cannot respond completely to the assertions in ClimateCraft's 29-page brief without filing an oversized brief of its own.
- 3. Judicial economy is served by allowing Huntair to respond completely to ClimateCraft's assertions which involve technical aspects of the fan wall technology. Huntair's responsive brief is as concise as possible in view of the issues presented. At 23 pages, Huntair's responsive brief is still six pages less than ClimateCraft's oversized opening brief.
- 4. Huntair believes that a full explanation of the bases for its positions will best serve the Court in evaluating the issues. ClimateCraft's opening brief addresses indefiniteness,

enablement and patent validity, and Huntair requires an opportunity to respond completely to each of the issues in ClimateCraft's oversized brief.

- 5. On July 15, 2008, Richard T. McCaulley Jr., attorney for of Huntair, spoke with Charles C. Kinne, attorney for ClimateCraft, to advise him that Huntair intended to seek leave to file a response brief of up to twenty-five (25) pages. Mr. Kinne informed Mr. McCaulley that ClimateCraft has no objection to the extension of the page limit.
- 6. On July 18, 2008, Huntair filed an Agreed Motion for Extension of Time and Leave to File an Oversize Response Brief on Claim Construction. Also, on July 18, 2008, ClimateCraft filed a response to Huntair's agreed motion, which stated that "ClimateCraft does not object to the request Huntair makes . . for leave to file up to 25 pages of brief." On July 17, this Court denied Huntair's motion for leave to file excess pages, but indicated that "[b]oth parties are instructed to re-file a motion for leave to file excess pages along with their responses. The Court will rule on the motion for leave at that time in light of the briefs presented."

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Huntair respectfully requests that this Court enter an order granting it leave to file an oversized responsive claim construction brief, submitted herewith, of twenty-three (23) pages.

Dated: July 21, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard T. McCaulley Jr.

David T. Pritikin

Richard T. McCaulley Jr.

Stephanie P. Koh

Nicole E. Kopinski

Benedict F. Frey

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

One South Dearborn Street

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: (312) 853-7000

Facsimile: (312) 853-7036

dpritikin@sidley.com

rmccaulley@sidley.com

skoh@sidley.com

nkopinski@sidley.com

bfrey@sidley.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Huntair, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of July, 2008, I caused a copy of the foregoing document, AGREED MOTION OF PLAINTIFF HUNTAIR, INC. FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN OVERSIZED RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF, to be served by ECF upon:

Charles C. Kinne KINNE IP GROUP 1240 Iroquois Avenue Suite 204 Naperville, IL 60563

Telephone: (630) 904-0940 Facsimile: (888) 887-7158

ckinne@kinnelaw.com

Attorney for Defendant ClimateCraft, Inc.

/s/ Richard T. McCaulley Jr.
Attorney for Plaintiff